

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/362,635	07/21/1999	RAJIV RAMASWAMI	11686US02	7103
75	90 09/24/2003			
ROBERT B POLIT MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY LTD 500 W MADISON 34TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			HSU, ALPUS	
CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	8
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

//

			//
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/362,635	RAMASWAMI ET AI	L. <b>/</b> /
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	7
•	Alpus H. Hsu	2665	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC c, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this com  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02.5	<u>September 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			merits is
4) Claim(s) 11,13,14 and 16-31 is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30 and 31</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,13,14 and 16-29</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , , , ,	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(.)(1)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		A . P . A . A .	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/362,635

Art Unit: 2665

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see paper No. 7, filed September 2, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 11, 13, 14, 16, 17-29 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the final rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of ARANGUREN et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,553,071.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 11, 13, 14, 16-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ARANGUREN et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,553,071 (newly cited).

By broadly interpreting the closet equipment as the claimed hub node and work stations as the claimed spoke nodes, ARANGUREN et al. discloses a star network having a hub node (484) and a plurality of spoke nodes (411s) and a plurality of multichannel multiplexed links (482s), each having plural number of channels for interconnecting the nodes to one another (see

Application/Control Number: 09/362,635

Art Unit: 2665

abstract, col. 1, line 65 to col. 3, line 6, col. 3, line 65 to col. 6, line 39) as in claims 11, 13, 14, 16-29.

Although ARANGUREN et al. fails to specifically disclose the feature of dividing an even number W of channels in each link into two sets and i is greater or equal to W/2, providing a star network configuration such that channel i on any link may be connected to channel w(i) on any other links, where w(i) = i + W/2, which is a simple network configuration algorithm and well known in the art, which can be easily adopted by one of ordinary skill in the art into the network of ARANGUREN et al. to provide a specific network configuration as needed to satisfy the requirement from the users.

- 4. Claims 30 and 31 are allowed.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Edem et al. and Blair et al. are additionally cited to show the feature of star type communication network comprising hub node(s) and spoke nodes for data communication among spoke nodes via hub node(s) similar to the claimed invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (703)305-4377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (703)308-6602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9314 for regular communications and (703)872-9314 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/362,635

Art Unit: 2665

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

AHH

September 16, 2003

Alpus H. Hsu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2665